

March 18, 1976

administration of the collection of the 1% sales tax? Is that the purpose of that cash fund?

SENATOR CARSTEN: Yes sir, I believe that was the purpose of it in its original form. Leaving it to perform that function, yes sir.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Do you believe that that is the only funds that ought...that that is the only reason that those funds ought to be used?

SENATOR CARSTEN: Not necessarily so. If there are other areas ~~it~~ needs to be used to take care of full implementation of our structure, then I think that that is in the discretion of the administration of that office.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Would you object...may I ask one more? Would you object Mr. Chairman to us putting on an amendment if you are successful with yours, that no funds from the cash funds collected from the 3% write off that we give the commissioner of the revenue from the 1% permissive sales tax to be used for any other purpose than the administration of that particular program?

SENATOR CARSTEN: No, I could not conscientiously object to that Senator Lewis. I think what you would be getting at would be a direct accountability of the expenditure of those funds if you do that.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Bereuter.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Members of the Legislature, Mr. President. If you will turn to the white copy of LB691, within the next 60 seconds I will give you enough information so that you can make your decisions on this. I'm confident which way you will go, because this is an intelligent body, and if you have the facts, you will know which way to go. Please turn with me to page 12, line 13. You will find the revenue administration budget. You will find there the figure, general fund \$4,912,090. Now put next to that figure the following amount; \$4,407,765, approximately a half a million less. Put next to the cash fund the same amount \$775,700. On the program total put in the figure besides the current one, \$5,178,465. Gentlemen and Senator Marsh, the figures that I have just given you are the appropriations for the current fiscal year. Does that look like we are tightening the screws on the Department of Revenue? We are giving them a half a million more dollars in general fund, half a million more total fund to run their operations for the next fiscal year than they have this year. Those figures are taken out of LB6 if you care to verify them. We are being more generous. A half a million dollars more to the department of Revenue for its administration. How do you justify that Senator Dworak? How do you justify giving them a half a million dollars more for their operations next year? That is what you have already done. Now, as far as your argument, Senator Dworak about bleeding the general fund, what we have done by the passage of 868 is